-92

. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891-92
~~192~~ ..
(2.82)

File No. 214 of 1891

Serial Nos.

Later references.

AL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 14.

Index Part B for

SUBJECT.

Complaint of insalubrity & close Surroundings
Rue, Mujjejchally Hall in the Municipality of Tanjore
regarding the erection of a Latrine, on the Site
belonging to the Mala.

To, Rao Bahadur Gachar, Retd
Magistrate Hall, Tanjore

No. 2088 Dated 24.7.93

Sir, I Impress that the Latrine in question
has been removed.

Contractor

WD 2238—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

(23)

Endorsement

In reply to his petition dated 15th Nov 1891 claiming ~~[illegible]~~ Nagarjunakonda Mutt, Kuddapah Taluk, the site on which a ~~[illegible]~~ public latrine was erected, Kadiri Ramanuja Charlu, Samasthanikari of the said Mutt, is informed that it has been found after investigation that the site in question belongs to Government and therefore the Mutt has no claim but ~~[illegible]~~ Government

By Order

Section Officer

Section Officer

AK 8/7

RD 21/7

(General Serial No. 82.)

189

Mypore. 647

No. 2088 18.11.91

Name of Petitioner. Madhwaraya alias Sarwadhikari of Mujjigalmatt

Village. Mutt

Taluk. Mulbagal.

District. Kolar.

Date of Arzi. 18/11/91.

Date of Receipt. 18/11/91.

Date of Disposal. 21/11/91

No. of Enclosures.

Issue on
Early receipt
& receipt
return of
Lieut for
his enquiry
18/11/91

ABSTRACT.

States that the Ai
-r, Mulbagal Taluk, has
de
take — up for Government
Land which served as stab
of the Mutt in Kasba Mu
A public latrine has bee
built on the spot so to ta
up.

Petitioner prays that
the said latrine, which affec
the sanitation of the inmate
of the Mutt, may be remove
at once, and the site given

No 284 K.

Endt. 1 June 9 28-3-92

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 4 236

dated 23rd January received at the

Dewan's Office from Ramachar &

others of Nulbagal Taluk

Complaining against

Municipal Member & the Ramn

Revd the Amildar of the Taluk

is forwarded, together with its

enclosures, to the Deputy Com-

missioner, Kolar District

for inquiry and re-

port early.

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
25/3/1892.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
3004
16 JUN 92
P. 21

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Chief Secretary to
the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 6th June 1892

Despatched 9th June 1892

Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 1001
No 216 92-93.

Reporting on the petition of
Madhavayadar, Sarvadhikari
of Nagaganahalli Kuttai
the Mulbagal Taluq.

Petn Board
June 10/92
This is an intermediate report
The Deputy Commr may be
requested to report the result
of the action finally taken
Submitted to the Chief
Secretary for orders.
K 16/6/92

Received. Papers returned
with directions to issue
Papers are sent for
Despatch
16/6/92

A
11-6-92

No: 1001/216 Encl 3.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kolar District,
Dated Camp Chikballapur the 9th Jan 92

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No: 172 dated the 30th November last forwarding for enquiry and report a petition from Abdul Razack, Sarvadikar of Jaffigana-halli Mutt in the Mulbagal Taluk, I have the honor to submit for information and orders the enquiry which as longer required to accompany records so forwarded with which I filed the Mulbagal Amildar's report and the Register explaining the circumstances of the case.

2 The Petitioner complained of the Petitioners have been ordered to be removed provided the established the right of the Mutt to the land to which it stands but he has failed to adduce his evidence in support of the alleged title. The Amildar has accordingly issued to issue a notice calling upon the Petitioner to produce the necessary title deeds at a specified time.

* Encl 1 to 3 returned

* Petitioner's enquiry with the Mulbagal Amildar's Report No: 21 dated 12th April 1891 with enclosures.

3. There can be no complaint on the score of nearness of the sale to the mill as it is far away from the same -

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner

[redacted]

2008

ਸਾਲ

ਸਾਲ ਦੀ ਸਾਲ ਦੀ ਸਾਲ ਦੀ

[redacted]

Read:—

Letter No 1001/218 dated 5th January submitting report on the Petition of Madhavaraya Ekar, Sarvadhikari of Anagagunakalli Inatti in the Sandoor Taluk.

Order thereon

Recorded. The further report promised is awaited.

Draft — Sd/ 2/1/19
Chief Secretary

To Receiving Commissioner
Bijapur, with the papers for needful reference

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From Prince Edward Island

To

Dated

Despatched 24-6-92

Received

Enclosures No. 4

General No. 5434 R.

No 80

From the Earl of Aberdeen 6-7-92

Answered 19.7.92

(General Serial No. 30.)

2769-

1892.

Pet: 133

From The Acting Commissioner Kolar District

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 4th September 1892

Despatched 8th Do

Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 912

No. 191

Reporting on the petition from Rama-char and others of Mulabagal - - -

---

Petn. Br. Imm 9/9/92

Intimated to the Chief Secy: with Corr: ending with this Office no: 5436/80 of 24-6-92

9/9/92

Petn with the file leading up to this Office No. 5436/80 of 24/6/92 &c

9/9/92

Deputy Commissioners Office
Kolar District
Dated the 4th September 1892
8th.

No. 912 Cen. 3
191

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No. 28 of the 26th March 1892 forwarding for report a petition from Ramaiah and others of Mallagal Tank complaining against the Amildar of Chintamani and the Amildar of the Tank, I have the honor to submit for information the accompanying copy of the Amildar's report (in English) No. 58 dated the 1st June last with that of the remarks of the General Assistant Commissioner who was deputed to enquire into the matter from which it appears that the imputations contained in the petition which is evidently groundless are unfounded.

2. The complaint of mismanagement of affairs in the Nagamangala Swamy's Temple at Mallagal referred to by the Corporation Member has been reported on in the Office Precis No. 2 dated the

* herewith returned

the 5th July last to the address of the Magistrate Secretary.

3. The Panchayat has been directed to complete the enquiry into the alleged irregularities in the Sreepadanaya Mutt and report the result early. He has been permitted to prosecute Krishna Purnachar in the Special Magistrate Court if there is sufficient evidence to prove that the said individual made false petition.

4. The petition received from Government Proceedings No. 5864/P.80 dated the 21st June 1892 in regard to the claim of the Magistrate Mutt to the site on which a latrine has been constructed at Kaithapi will be submitted in due course.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

S Venkata Rao

Deputy Commissioner,

Kolar District.

Dupe

No. 38

Malbagal
19-6-92.

To

The General Secretary & Commissioner
Kolar District

Sir,

With reference to your order No. 5122 dated 12th June 92 on the official Memorandum No. 284 dated 20th March 92 (herewith returned with its enclosure) I beg permission to state that the petition is a fraudulent one containing fictitious names, and the allegations therein contained are false in toto. I beg however to report on the allegations as directed.

2. The finding are the allegations which the petition contains.

I That I have been one month in the petitioners registration-Swamy Mudali, stopped further proceedings, desiring to complete the enquiry and attempted to receive bribe through Thavan Nedan.

II That I am putting off the completion of well work, giving contracts to Nyadavas and others, and receive share.

III That I am not due to Government by

erecting

meeting necessary, I attended on the 21st Sept.
to Magistrates Court and also part of
the forenoon of the 22nd.

II That Councillors enjoy some benefit per-
forming Duty at the Depot.

I That the Municipal Corporation Act
from a Corporation and does not do away
that he gets his own costs done by lawyer and also
receives 2 or 3 Rupees per month from them.

3. With reference to the first point I beg to
state that the Sanitary Committee
submitted petition to the Court, the
Deputy Commissioner, and Magis-
Superintendent stating that the
Officer of the Municipality Sanitary
Cart and Bullocks are not pro-
perly managed and that the Sani-
tary of the Municipality committee
complained to the petition also
referred the matter to conduct
an enquiry and report upon the
contents of the petition and the
order was received by me on the 20th
November 1891, I took no time in
hearing the attendance of petitioners
holding the enquiry noticed and sub-
mitting

The Sanitary Committee have sent in a complaint against the Methodology of the Municipality Sanitary Cart & Bullocks stating that they are mismanaging and misappropriating money belonging to the Municipality, report has been submitted a few days ago on that subject.

When I was at Bolangir some months back I saw the Commissioner who gave me a general and more detailed report of enquiry about misappropriation. I was not able to go through the case owing to the absence of the Sanitary Officer from the station. He has come to the place 2 days ago & the enquiry can be completed now without difficulty, but the Municipality objecting to have such enquiry against him by panchayet, hope that some other officer may be deputed to Bolangir

-pal

Mallikarjuna to go through the case. Mr. Venu Gopalacharlu is now in the Mallikarjuna Sahib and he may have advantage be entrusted with him.

It will be the case in every Court or temple that the head of the institution employs his relations as office bearers and gives them salaries or some money for their services. The question will be found out whether the emoluments of the grant are being fulfilled or not.

4/7/92 (Sd) A. Sriramamurthy Pantulu

Submitting my report I can conscientiously state that I held the enquiry with care and impartiality and from the facts elicited have all been fully reported. Vide my Circle No. 275 dated 6th January 1892. The accounts of receipts and expenditure have not been produced by the agent who said that they were with the Dharmakarta who was not here. The report could not therefore be fully completed. I have recorded in my report and I have not omitted the fact that the relations are enjoying certain emoluments in consideration of their alleged services in managing the affairs of the Dharmapuri village &c. Copy of my report extracted from the Register is enclosed for ready reference. The Sarma has returned to Mallikarjuna Durga just before the Rathotsavam of Sreepadaraja Swamy which commences on the 9th June. I beg permission to solicit that someone may be deputed to examine the records (the agent being called upon to produce them)

as I am so much engaged in Collection and the works. I may add that, the allegation that I have or am attempting to get illegal gratification from the Staff is altogether untrue.

4. With reference to the 2nd point I beg to state that I am not delaying the Completion of well works. Blasting operations have to be carried on and no Dynamite could be had here. I have sent an indent to the Executive Engineer, who returned the same stating that he had none in store. I have since sent an indent to the Superintending Engineers Office as directed, and am expecting a supply. The works have been given to men who have no former receiver under taking similar works in the D.P.W. and not to Inglesseri. As to allegation under that I am receiving or having received direct or indirect gratification

The Deputy Commissioner is aware that much difficulty the Building is experiencing to deepen the well. Rock has been met with and the work must consequently be slow.

Entitled to [illegible] on the other hand I am receiving much

many of them attention, and can in keeping that very little margin is left to the Contractor.

5. Regarding the 3rd Count of my Estate that the utility of such works as the Construction of Latrines, were fencing &c have been fully discussed in the meetings of the Board, entered in the Budget sanction obtained, and works carried out in 1894-95, I have caused no loss to Government. I have fully reported the circumstances under which one of the Latrines in Agraharam was constructed in a site contiguous with patches of grass in which nuisance used to be generally committed. I was directed on personal inspection by the Deputy Commissioner to remove the Latrine from the site as the production of the Document by the agent who alleged that he Mantri got the land by purchase.

The

By order of the Debts Commissioner on receipt of complaint I went to Kenana office & was not shown any site on which the latrine & shed therein was taken stands visible to free the ground which is said to have been purchased by the Mott for sheltering elephants and cattle. I recommended the removal of the latrine & mud shed to a little distance from it. If the same be followed the Mott could not come with the said land, that can be.

(Initialled) A. C.

I have not seen the Municipal Range but the same is kept as clean as similar sites. Same are kept. The Municipal Range are somewhat given some written work in the office. It would be well to make some attempt to their non legitimate execution work & show better results. I received no complaint against the Municipal Range nor receive of money from the accepted except I received the Cattle Share rent and this at Mr. Ismail.

(Initialled) A. C.

The Court was also directed by the Debts Commissioner to produce the same, he has not yet done so.

3. With reference to the allegation, I beg to state that no fair or any religious service at the Dargah is conducted by the persons mentioned in the petition. I received complaints of the nature but had no occasion to enquire into the same up to now.

4. Referring to the 5th and the last allegation contained in the petition, I beg to state that the Municipal Range Rama-Rao came to Kottagal from Kottagudda in 1887-88. He is discharging his duties satisfactorily. There is no truth in the allegation that he get this area work done by accepter or that he receives any money from them. The Municipal servants are

are paid at the Talk-
Gatchey every month. I am
personally superintending this
work. I have not till now re-
ceived any complaint from the
sweeper.

8. In answer I beg to
state that a similar Fendong-
mer petition bearing the same
date, viz, 23rd January 1892 and
containing the same allegations
was sent to the Deputy Com-
missioner. I received the petition
with order to find out the
author of the same & inquired
on enquiry. The writing of both
the Fendongmer petitions are
the same and it resembles the
writing of Kallang Pomaebang,
the complainant in the suit,
appears, as well as seen from the
Kwakhat application, written
by him, which I have submitted
with my reply to the above order.

There

18

The evidence against Kalian Pannerselvam writing the anonymous petition as reported in the Amildar's separate report seemed to me to be pretty strong and I therefore recommended to the Deputy Commissioner to permit the Amildar to proceed against him for certain instigation against his character.

4 July 92 Asst. Commissioner's Court

Now we also certain other circumstances tending to corroborate the above Pannerselvam's writing petition of which I have not yet in my possession, report I beg that such orders may be passed regarding his conduct as would deter him or others from writing anonymous and scandalous petitions

(Signed) G. Sesha Iyengar
Amildar.

Copy"

G. Sesha Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Seen

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.
PETN.
REG'D.
DATE

ಸಾಗಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗದೆ ಇದ್ದಾಗ ಅಂಥ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಸ್ವಂತ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಪೂರೈಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಕಳಕಳಿಯ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಅವರ ಪತ್ನಿಯವರಿಂದಲೂ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಸಹಕಾರ ದೊರೆಯುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಅವರ ಪತ್ನಿಯವರು ಸಹ ಸಮಾಜಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತೊಡಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಸಹ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸಂಘಟನೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District

Dated 9th September 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3879

Pet. 1 No. 322.

Proceedings No. 5434 / P.80 dated 24th June 1892, calling for the report promised in his No. 1001 / 218 dated 8th June 1892 in re the latrine complained of by Petitioner Madhava-nayachar, Sarvadhikari of Maggiganahalli Matt in the Mulbagal Taluk.

—"—

In drawing attention to the above, has the honour to request the very early submission

Submission of the promised report.

2. Even if the land doesn't belong to the Matt, Common courtesy requires that the Latrine should not be in inconvenient proximity to the Matt.

"By order"

Chief Secretary

Kang

noted

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892. 6-97.

Pet 304

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

4

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Dated Sampangiramnagar
Despatched 13th December 1892.
Received 20

Enclosures No. 1

Judicial No. 1888
No. 436

Petitioner Proceedings in Pet 304 / 92
Petition dated 2nd October 1892 and his
Petition in Pet 322.
Petitioner Venkatarangachar Son
of Venkatachari of Majjigenahalli Patt
in the Hoskote Taluk.

With reference to the above, have
the honor to submit herewith for
information and obliging return a
sketch from which it will be observed
that the Cattle which is reported to
be at a distance of 66 yards from
Pandal is screened off from the Cattle
by two roads and a line of houses
and that there can be no objection
the ground of inconvenient [illegible]

The Petitioner has produced
documents

Petitioner
Order 21/12
Venkatarangachar of the
Majjigenahalli Matt. —
Last order.
On the reverse
paper no 8.

This is also an interim report.
The Dy Com may be
requested to report the
result of the present
enquiry as early as possible
Submitted to the Chief
Secretary

N
26/12/93

Pasha from Mail
Petition Writer
Reports that orders in
this case have been
Majjigenahalli Matt
pursuing
management long —

21/12/93

documents in support of the claim of the Crown to the ownership of the site on which the Lateen stands and one of them is unstamped. The Auditor has been directed to pay the accrued duty and penalty and to institute certain enquiries in regard to the alleged sight. As soon as his final report is received order will be issued for the removal of the Lateen if the site is found to be the property of the Crown.

Deputy Commissioner.

JH



(General Serial No. 30)

1893.

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

To

The Deputy Commr
Kolar

Dated 1

Despatched 3 January 1893

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 13461
Petn No. 252-92

Requesting him to report the result of the recent Enquiry in regard to the alleged right of the Nagrigenahalli Patel & the site on which the latrine complained against stands.

References to be marked

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—2,500.

27

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

His letter No. 1858/436 dated the 19th December 1892 reporting that the Amildar has been directed to institute certain enquiries in regard to the alleged right of Nagsigenahalli Math in the Mulbagal Taluk to the site on which the latrine complained against stands.

With reference to the above has the honor to request that the Deputy Commr will be good enough to report the result of the present enquiry as early as possible and in a form that will allow of the Govt passing final orders on this case which has been dragging its slow length inconveniently and unnecessarily long.

By order

Chief Secretary

(draft
Ch. Secretary)

VSCH

Peta Branch.

Submitted to Dispatch.
for information. (Bar)
6/2

Whether the site in question belongs to the Math or not is still left undetermined.
Submitted to the Chief Secretary
9/2/93 Appl. to be granted
M Appl. is accepted

11/2

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893. 8591.

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated the 2nd February 1893.

Despatched 4th

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2390
No. 560

Civil Office Docket No. 1888/436 dated the 1st December last in re the Petition presented by Petitioner — Madhavangalam Narasimhaiya Kare of Marikupam Math in the Mulbagal Taluk.

On continuation of the above, have the honor to state that the Petitioner having offered to pay the cost of preserving the Petition with the materials of the present

7/2/93

present building, the Builder
has been directed to remove
the same to a different site
pending the establishment
by the Metropolitan Board
of Works of a Public Street
in continuation northwards.

S. Rundell
Deputy Commissioner.

Dean

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.

From The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

To The Commissioner, ~~Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore~~ Kolar District.

Dated 15th Feby 1893.

Despatched 16th Feby 1893.

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 13622

Pol. No. 255-92

Stating that parties reported in the lectric complained of by Rao Bahadur Rajadhikari of Bangalore Sarvadhikari of Bangalore Malli Mattur in the Kolar Taluk is awaited.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—21-12-92.—20. 0.

To

31

Office of the Chief Secretary.

With Rs. 2330/580 d/ 2nd Dec. 93
in re the letter complained
of by Rao Bahadur Vellaya
Kandiliar of Srirangachari
sent to the Municipal Co.

Also the Hon'ble to state
the above that further
explanation with Rs. 1886/480
dy/ 9th Dec. last is awaited.

as to the result of the enquiry
allowed to in para 2 of

By Order

Draft.
(Mr. Lee's order)

15/7

Chief Secretary.

Mr. M

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893. 222

Pet 7

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 6th
Despatched 7th } July 1893.
Received 10/7

Enclosures No. 1 on file

General No. 79
No. 18 1893-94

In reply to his No 1322 dated
15th February 1893.

SUBJECT.

Defamation by the Tahsildar of Malur complained of by the Circumstances of the case.

Submitted, Enquiries have been ordered to be made by Government and the result thereof has been received

Petitioner Cor.
Received 7/7

Recorded
7/7

Drafted
7/7.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

No: 45/18 One can file

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kolar District;
Dated 5th/7th July, 1893.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your Docket No: 3622/P-7250 dated the 15th February 1893 in re the Latrine complained of by Petitioner Madhvaraya Char, Sarvadhikari of Majjigenahalli mutt in the Mulbagal Taluk, I have the honor to state that the site of the Latrine has been proved to be the property of Government. The Latrine in question has however been removed to a different place.

2. The Vernacular records bearing on the subject as per accompanying Index are herewith submitted for reference and obliging return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

From

Fair issued
24/7/93

2088.

By order

Draft

Chief Secretary.

No. 172 K.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Camp Regd

The accompanying Petition No. 64/2088

dated 18th November/91 received at the Dewan's Office from Madhwarayalhar Sarwadhikari of Mujjigai Mahulli Mutt in the Moulbágal Taluk

R.A. 216-91-2

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, for early enquiry, ~~and~~ needful action and an early report for the information of Government

By Order,

Draft 30/11/91

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 30 Nov 1891.

74/12

Dismissed 24/12/92

Ref. to the same P. No. 227 dated 4/2/92

Ref. to the same P. No. 321 dated 16/6/92

34 | Read, L.R. 214-93 (13/21)

Correspondence ending with letter No. 79/18 of 5th instant from the Dy Comr. Kolar Dist. reporting on the claim of Madhava-raya chari, on behalf of the Majjigenahalli Mutt, Mulbagal Taluk for the site over which a latrine was erected, and stating that the site in question has been proved to be the property of Government

Order thereon

The latrine has been removed and

No 1569. 21/7/93
P.F. 250-92-24

Recorded.

Chief Sec.

To 26/7
The Dy Comr. Kolar
returning the Vernacular papers.

RD 21/7

AL